UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. SANTIAGO REYNOZO SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defenda Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	2015 CR-043-K (02) CS th Cir. 1997), e One Count iago Reynozo, knowledgeable
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA VS. SANTIAGO REYNOZO SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defenda Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	2015 CR-043-K (02) CS th Cir. 1997), te One Count Cago Reynozo, Cnowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	(5 th Cir. 1997), e One Count iago Reynozo, knowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	(5 th Cir. 1997), e One Count iago Reynozo, knowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO SANTIAGO REYNOZO SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	(5 th Cir. 1997), e One Count iago Reynozo, knowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	(5 th Cir. 1997), e One Count iago Reynozo, knowledgeable
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santisunder oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	(5 th Cir. 1997), e One Count iago Reynozo, knowledgeable
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION CONCERNING PLEA OF GUILTY SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of United States v. Dees, 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santisunder oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	e One Count ago Reynozo, knowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of <u>United States v. Dees</u> , 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information , filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo , be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute , in	e One Count ago Reynozo, knowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of <u>United States v. Dees</u> , 125 F.3d 261 (has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information , filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santis under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo , be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	e One Count ago Reynozo, knowledgeable
has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the Superseding Information, filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santia under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was k and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defend Reynozo, be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in	e One Count ago Reynozo, knowledgeable
SANTIAGO REYNOZO, by consent, under authority of <u>United States v. Dees</u> , 125 F.3d 261 (5th Cir. 1997), has appeared before me pursuant to Fed. R. Crim.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guilty to the One Count Superseding Information , filed on July 9, 2015. After cautioning and examining Defendant Santiago Reynozo , under oath concerning each of the subjects mentioned in Rule 11, I determined that the guilty plea was knowledgeable and voluntary and that the offense charged is supported by an independent basis in fact containing each of the essential elements of such offense. I therefore recommend that the plea of guilty be accepted, and that Defendant Santiago Reynozo , be adjudged guilty of Possession of a Controlled Substance With Intent to Distribute, in violation of , 21 USC § 841(a)(1) and (b)(1)(B), and have sentence imposed accordingly. After being found guilty of the offense by the district judge,	
The defendant is currently in custody and should be ordered to remain in custody.	
The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1) unless the Court and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other community if released.	
 □ The Government does not oppose release. □ The defendant has been compliant with the current conditions of release. □ I find by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3 	
 ☐ The Government opposes release. ☐ The defendant has not been compliant with the conditions of release. ☐ If the Court accepts this recommendation, this matter should be set for hearing upon Government. 	motion of the
The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) unless (1)(a) the Cois a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or (b) the Gorecommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed, or (c) exceptional circumstance shown under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained, and (2) the Court finds convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other community if released.	overnment has ces are clearly s by clear and

NOTICE

PAUL D. STICKNEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).